

Superintendent:	Linus J. Guillory					0, 2024	
Evaluator:	Andreas Liu		Andreas Lia		August	4, 2024	1
	Name Signature			Da	ate		
Step 1: Assess Progress	Toward Goals (<i>Reference per</i>	formance goals; che	ck one for each set of go	oal[s].)			
Professional Practice Go	pal(s) Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	⊠ Significant Progress	Μ	et	☐ Exce	eded
Student Learning Goal(s	Did Not Meet		☐ Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	eded
District Improvement Go	pal(s) Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	⊠ Significant Progress	□м	et	☐ Exce	eded
below the requirements of a standard or of Needs Improvement/Developing = Perf Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement in Proficient = Proficient practice is under	dard or overall has not significantly improved folloverall and is considered inadequate, or both. formance on a standard or overall is below the rest necessary and expected. In the rest of the rest	equirements of a standard or overs	all but is not considered to be	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructional	Leadership					⊠	
Standard II: Manageme	nt and Operations						
Standard III: Family and	Community Engagement						
Standard IV: Profession	al Culture						



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)									
Unsatisfactory		Exemplary							
Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments	s								
Comments and analysis are recommended	d for any rating but are required for an ove	erall summative rating of Exemplary, Ne	eds Improvement or Unsatisfactory.						
Comments:									
The overall "proficient" rating is ba	ased on the excellent work accompl	ished this year by building leader	s and senior staff under Dr.						
Guillory's leadership.									





Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district **Did Not Meet** Significant Progress improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Progress Exceeded Administrative Leadership. Some Met Focus Indicator(s) Goals Description IB1,3 Goal 1: Supervision and Evaluation. Active, ongoing feedback is a leading indicator in high performing organizations. PSB will continue to ID 1, 3 **Student Learning Goal** prioritize supervision, evaluation and ongoing feedback as a means of \boxtimes continuous improvement. The focal point of my work with principals this year will be in the area of student engagement. IIE1 **Department Reorganization.** Examine the Department of Educational **Professional Practice** Equity for wider District impact, capacity and alignment with District values \boxtimes Goal **District Coherence**. Beginning in the 2023-24 school year, the Public IIB1 Schools of Brookline will begin the process of developing District coherence IV D 1 by leveraging the multi-year integrated strategic plan as the central tool to **District Improvement** drive continuous improvement. Campbell and Fullan define coherence as \boxtimes Goal 1 the shared depth of understanding about the nature of the work. Coherence speaks to the way in which the parts of the system work together to achieve outcomes. II A 3 The Governance Core. The Superintendent will lead the School Committee in exploring The Governance Core by Campbell and Fullan to IB1,3 **District Improvement** develop, build and codify a shared understanding of our roles in leading \Box П \boxtimes П Goal 2 and improving the school district. In the fall workshop, we will explore mindsets for efficacy and utilize the spring workshop to check-in on our

progress.

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.								
I. Instructional Leadership II. Management & Operations III. Family & Community Engagement IV. Professional Cultu								
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards					
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency					
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication					
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning					
I-E. Data-Informed Decisionmaking	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision					
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict					

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)			Р	E
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.			
The e	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that s powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.			⊠	

Comi	Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):									
Sı	uperintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & O	perati	ons		nusetts Department of ITARY & SECONDARY JCATION					
	each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to rintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	Е					
II-A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			×						
II-B.	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			⊠						
II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)									
II-D.	 Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 									
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)									
The	RALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			⊠						
Com	ments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>U</i>	nsatisfact	ory):							

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)			P	E
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.X Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×		
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Un</i>	satisfacto	ory):		



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)			P	E
 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills.Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Ui</i>	nsatisfacto	ory):		



Superintendent:	ndent: Linus J. Guillory		June 30, 2024					
Evaluator:	Suza	nne Federspiel	Buz	canne Federspiel		July 10), 2024	
		Name		Signature	Date			
Step 1: Assess Progress	Toward Go	als (<i>Reference perfo</i>	ormance goals; che	ck one for each set of go	oal[s].)			
Professional Practice G	ioal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	□М	et	⊠ Exce	eded
Student Learning Goal((s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	⊠M	et	☐ Exce	eded
District Improvement G	oal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	⊠ M	let	☐ Exce	eded
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a star below the requirements of a standard or Needs Improvement/Developing = Per Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement Proficient = Proficient practice is under Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indices	overall and is const formance on a state is necessary and earstood to be fully	sidered inadequate, or both. Indicated or overall is below the requexpected. In a statisfactory. This is the rigor	uirements of a standard or overa	all but is not considered to be	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructiona	l Leadership							
Standard II: Manageme	ent and Operat	ions						
Standard III: Family and	d Community E	Engagement						
Standard IV: Profession	nal Culture							



Step 3: Rate Overall Su	ımmative Performar	nce (Based on Step 1 a	and Step 2 ratings; check o	one.)
Unsatisfacto	ry Ne	eds Improvement	⊠ Proficient	Exemplary
Step 4: Add Eval	uator Commen	ts		
Comments and an rating of Exemplar		•		d for an overall summative
Comments:	•		_	
superintendent. He spent his fir strong central office team that h five areas: Teaching and Learni district leaders developed action	st two years observing, list e hired. The District Strating; Community Connection in items for each of the str	stening and learning (Entry F egic Plan was approved at t ons; Climate and Culture; Bu ategic initiatives. Much work	he end of last school year. The straudget and Budget process; and Pro	sable to move the work forward with the ategic objectives were grouped in one of ocesses and Procedures. This year the on the areas of Teaching and Learning and
We read and studied the book]	The Governance Core and	d we read articles on this top	the characteristics of an effective bic. We also had help from the MAS Your patience and determination a	•
Superintendent Induction Progr the MASS (Mass Association of	am and he will participate School superintendents)	this year in the PD of Leadi . He also serves on the exec	cutive board of the Tri-County Rou	I the three years of the New as taken on expanded opportunities with ndtable of superintendents. Harvard sulted by his peers on pressing issues.
•	nued work as we strive to	"provide every student with	an extraordinary education, through	ear goals as defined in the Strategic gh enriching learning experiences and a



Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded
Student Learning Goal	IB1,3 ID1,3	Goal 1: Supervision and Evaluation. Active, ongoing feedback is a leading indicator in high performing organizations. PSB will continue to prioritize supervision, evaluation and ongoing feedback as a means of continuous improvement. The focal point of my work with principals this year will be in the area of student engagement.				_	
Professional Practice Goal	II E 1	Department Reorganization. Examine the Department of Educational Equity for wider District impact, capacity and alignment with District values					
District Improvement Goal 1	II B 1 IV D 1	District Coherence. Beginning in the 2023-24 school year, the Public Schools of Brookline will begin the process of developing District coherence by leveraging the multi-year integrated strategic plan as the central tool to drive continuous improvement. Campbell and Fullan define coherence as the shared depth of understanding about the nature of the work. Coherence speaks to the way in which the parts of the system work together to achieve outcomes.					

District Improvement Goal 2	II A 3 I B 1, 3	The Governance Core. The Superintendent will lead the School Committee in exploring The Governance Core by Campbell and Fullan to develop, build and codify a shared understanding of our roles in leading and improving the school district. In the fall workshop, we will explore mindsets for efficacy and utilize the spring workshop to check-in on our progress.					
-----------------------------------	--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.									
I. Instructional Leadership II. Management & Operations III. Family & Community Engagement IV. Professional Culture									
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards						
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency						
I-C. Assessment	Development	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication						
I-D. Evaluation	II-C. Scheduling & Management	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning						
I-E. Data-Informed Decision-making	Information Systems		IV-E. Shared Vision						
I-F. Student Learning	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-F. Managing Conflict						
	II-E. Fiscal Systems								

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)				P	E
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.□ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				

I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	0			
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			0	
I-E.	 Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions practice. Evidence of impa on student learning based multiple measures of stude learning, growth, and achievement must be take into account when determin a performance rating for th Standard.			ave ions of mpact sed on tudent nd taken rmining
The	ERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by vating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.				X

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

I-B Instruction: Under Dr. Guillory, the Office of Teaching and Learning has worked tirelessly to promote instructional practices that reflect high expectations and to engage all students in learning. This year saw the recommendations of several reviews that were reflected in the district strategic plan. Specifically, there were reviews of early literacy, middle grades schedules, world language programs, and social emotional learning. The district worked with Open Architects to create data dashboards that allow a deeper dive into student performance and outcomes. Other highlights include: planning for the expansion of the Winthrop House, training for all staff on the new IEP format, participation in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey, creation of a unified sports program at BHS, and an updated Wellness Policy that was recognized as a Massachusetts School Wellness Champion.

I-D Evaluation: Dr. Guillory has set new standards for evaluations of building administrators and central office staff in his three years at PBS. He is committed to providing "in the moment" feedback to school leaders at each visit or senior leader meetings. He regularly visits schools and meets with administrators. His emphasis is on "thought partnering" with them to work through problems of practice/challenges. Each principal has received his/her end of year evaluation and senior staff are on track to receive theirs by July 19.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)			Р	E
 II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				

II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.Focus Indicator (check if yes)						
II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)						
OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.						
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exem</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>):	plary, N	leeds Ir	nprovei	ment		
I-A Environment: Dr. Guillory fully supports comprehensive, student-centered Social Emotional Learning programs in PSB. With the help of the Deputy Superintendent of Student Services and the Senior Director of Clinical Services and Social-Emotional Learning, the district implemented the program Second Step in all PreK to 5 classrooms. Middle school teachers received help strengthening the advisory program and this resulted in meaningful improvements in students' emotional regulation skills. There were increases in the percentage of students who endorsed strong school belonging and identified a safe adult at school. Work by school leaders resulted in a meaningful decrease in the percentage of students who were chronically absent during the 2023-2024 school year.						
I-B Human Resources: The role of Director of Human Resources was vacant this year. A new director will come on board this July, 2024. Work was done behind the scenes to re-organize the HR team, to re-calibrate the interview process to ensure consistency as well as minimize the impact of bias, and to revamp recruit/hire process to include checks/balances with budget. Although many people stepped in to fill the role of HR Director, he vacancy created a challenge for the district. We look forward to the new hire.						
I-E Fiscal Systems: Much work has been done to streamline and standardize the fiscal systems of the district under Dr. Guillory's guidance and hat of his new Deputy Superintendent of Finance and Operations. The FY 25 budget development process resulted in a budget book that is accessible and user-friendly and reflects the educational programs of the district. The building leaders and budget managers are getting more involved in the budget development. Staffing templates were developed and payroll errors were reduced. The Office of Educational Equity added an additional staff person which allows the department to spend more time in the schools.						



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)			P	Е
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.				

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemple or Unsatisfactory):	ary, Needs Improvement
III-A Engagement:	
III-C Communication:	
III-D Family Concerns:	
Dr. Guillory encourages every family to become active participants in the classroom and school community. He send newsletter that is available in many languages. He hosts bi-monthly meetings with district-wide parent/guardian leader to the SC are informative for all and his spotlights on excellence are always highly anticipated each meeting. He attended to the SC are informative for all and his spotlights on excellence are always highly anticipated each meeting. He attended to the SC are informative for all and his spotlights on excellence are always highly anticipated each meeting. He attended to the school of	ers. His ongoing presentations
This was a particularly difficult year with international affairs. Dr. Guillory did his best to listen to the many concerns of	of the Brookline community.
	<u> </u>
Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture	Massachusetts Department of ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)		NI	Р	E
 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				

 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				Х
 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.			X	
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exem</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>):	nplary, N	Veeds li	mprove	ment
IV-B Cultural Proficiency: Dr. Guillory has been fully supportive of the Office of Educational Equity. The of and hired an assistant to the director. This has enabled the OEE to spend more times in the school. In a plan. OEE was able to complete three-day School Residencies across the district. The district successful each school.	lignmen	t with th	e strate	gic
IV-D Continuous Learning: Dr. Guillory held monthly full-day principal meetings to discuss topics such as the principals get copies of the presentations to share with their staffs.) He provided trainings/refreshers including: student record laws, student confidentiality; child abuse and neglect reporting; student conduct discrimination; physical restraint; new IEP format, and 504 ADA regulations.	on a lar	ge rang	e of topi	cs





Superintendent:	Linus J. Guillory				June 3	0, 2024	ļ. 	
Evaluator:	Helen Charlupski				July 18	3, 2024		
	Name		Signature	Date				
Step 1: Assess Progress	Toward Goals (Reference per	formance goals; che	ck one for each set of go	oal[s].)				
Professional Practice C	Goal(s) Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	⊠ Met ☐ Exc		☐ Exce	eded	
Student Learning Goal	(s) Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	s ⊠ Met		☐ Exce	eded	
District Improvement G	ioal(s) Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	⊠M	et	☐ Exce	eded	
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a state below the requirements of a standard or Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a state below the requirements of a standard or Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance in the Improvement Proficient = Proficient practice is under the Improvement in I	indard or overall has not significantly improved follower overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Informance on a standard or overall is below the relation is necessary and expected. Iderstood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigin cates that practice significantly exceeds <i>Proficient</i>	owing a rating of Needs Improver quirements of a standard or overs	ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be	Unsatisfactory sod an	Needs Improvement	Proficient Proficient	Exemplary	
Standard I: Instructiona	al Leadership							
Standard II: Manageme	ent and Operations					⊠		
Standard III: Family an	d Community Engagement							
Standard IV: Profession	nal Culture							





Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)							
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	⊠ Proficient	Exemplary				
Step 4: Add Evaluator Comme							
Comments and analysis are recommendate	nded for any rating but are required for an over	rall summative rating of Exemplary, Ne	eeds Improvement or Unsatisfactory.				
Comments:							

Superintendent's Performance Goals



improvement goals. Goals Administrative Leadership.	should be SMART and	t learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded
Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Di	S. P.	Si Pr	Š	யி
Student Learning Goal	IB1,3 ID1,3	Goal 1: Supervision and Evaluation. Active, ongoing feedback is a leading indicator in high performing organizations. PSB will continue to prioritize supervision, evaluation and ongoing feedback as a means of continuous improvement. The focal point of my work with principals this year will be in the area of student engagement.				⊠	
Professional Practice Goal	IIE1	Department Reorganization. Examine the Department of Educational Equity for wider District impact, capacity and alignment with District values				×	
District Improvement Goal 1	II B 1 IV D 1	District Coherence. Beginning in the 2023-24 school year, the Public Schools of Brookline will begin the process of developing District coherence by leveraging the multi-year integrated strategic plan as the central tool to drive continuous improvement. Campbell and Fullan define coherence as the shared depth of understanding about the nature of the work. Coherence speaks to the way in which the parts of the system work together to achieve outcomes.				⊠	
District Improvement Goal 2	II A 3 I B 1, 3	The Governance Core. The Superintendent will lead the School Committee in exploring The Governance Core by Campbell and Fullan to develop, build and codify a shared understanding of our roles in leading and improving the school district. In the fall workshop, we will explore mindsets for efficacy and utilize the spring workshop to check-in on our progress.				⊠	
District Improvement Goal 3							
District Improvement Goal 4							

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership

Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.

I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
I-E. Data-Informed Decisionmaking	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to uperintendent goal(s).)		NI	Р	E
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-B.	 Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			×	
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			0	
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.			
The e	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership ducation leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that spowerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.				

omments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):					

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



	each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to rintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E
II-A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			×	
II-B.	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
II-D.	 Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
The e	RALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			×	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):								
Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement Massachusetts Department of ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION								
Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E				
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			0	×				
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 								
 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				\boxtimes				
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.X Focus Indicator (check if yes)								
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.				×				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>): Dr. Guillory continues to excel in family and community engagement. He fosters strong relationships with Town partners. He attends and interacts with the community at town-wide events along with school-based events. His interpersonal skills are excellent.								



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E
 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			×	
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			×	
 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Ui	nsatisfacto	ory):		



Superintendent:	Linus J. Guillory				June 3	0, 2024	<u> </u>
Evaluator:	Steven Ehrenberg			July 15, 2024		5, 2024	
	Name		Signature	Date			
Step 1: Assess Progress	Toward Goals (Reference pe	erformance goals; che	eck one for each set of go	oal[s].)			
Professional Practice (Goal(s) Did Not Mee	t Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	eded
Student Learning Goal	(s) Did Not Mee	t Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	□М	☐ Met ☐ Ex		eded
District Improvement G	Goal(s) Did Not Mee	t Some Progress		☐ Met		☐ Exce	eded
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a stabelow the requirements of a standard on Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard on Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard of Needs Improvement (Insatisfactory at the time. Improvement (Insatisfactory at the time.)	andard or overall has not significantly improved a roverall and is considered inadequate, or both. erformance on a standard or overall is below the t is necessary and expected. derstood to be fully satisfactory. This is the ricates that practice significantly exceeds <i>Proficient</i>	following a rating of Needs Improve requirements of a standard or ove	ement, or performance is consistently rall but is not considered to be	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement		Exemplary
Standard I: Instructions	al Leadership				⊠		
Standard II: Managem	ent and Operations						
Standard III: Family an	nd Community Engagement						
Standard IV: Profession	nal Culture						



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)								
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	☐ Proficient	Exemplary					

Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.

Comments:

In (1B) Instruction, some evidence provided to the School Committee was in my opinion not evidence of success, casting doubt on the veracity of the remainder. No evidence was provided on Dr. Guillory's (1D) Evaluations of staff, other than that he conducted them. In both areas and overall for Category 1, I rated "Needs Improvement."

I believe (2A) Environment was misunderstood in Dr. Guillory's submission and have no evidence to review this. (2B) HR Management and Development has in my estimation fallen from "Needs Improvement" in 2022-23 to "Unsatisfactory" this year, as the high turnover of Dr. Guillory's staff has been replaced by lengthy and unexplained vacancies. Dr. Givens's work in (2D) Fiscal Systems has done much to strengthen PSB's finances and credibility. Overall, my concern about (2B) led me to rate Category 2 as "Needs Improvement."

Dr. Guillory's newsletters are a successful channel of (3A) Engagement, but I have strong concerns about his actions under (3C) Communication, as on several occasions he has seen fit to refuse to communicate with the School Committee on issues over which it holds legitimate interest (e.g., the hiring process for the Deputy Superintendent of Student Services; his position on responding to a Freedom of Information Act Request by a community member). No evidence was submitted for the School Committee to evaluate Dr. Guillory's work under (3D) Family Concerns. Overall, this category averages as "Needs Improvement."

In the fourth category, I rated Dr. Guillory's work as "Proficient." My overall average rating was 2.25, closer to "Needs Improvement" than "Proficient."

Superintendent's Performance Goals



Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district Did Not Meet Significant Progress improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Some Progress Exceeded Administrative Leadership. Met Goals Focus Indicator(s) Description IB1,3 Goal 1: Supervision and Evaluation. Active, ongoing feedback is a leading indicator in high performing organizations. PSB will continue to ID 1.3 prioritize supervision, evaluation and ongoing feedback as a means of **Student Learning Goal** \boxtimes continuous improvement. The focal point of my work with principals this year will be in the area of student engagement. IIE1 **Department Reorganization.** Examine the Department of Educational **Professional Practice** Equity for wider District impact, capacity and alignment with District values П Ø П П Goal IIB1 **District Coherence**. Beginning in the 2023-24 school year, the Public Schools of Brookline will begin the process of developing District coherence IV D 1 by leveraging the multi-year integrated strategic plan as the central tool to **District Improvement** drive continuous improvement. Campbell and Fullan define coherence as \boxtimes Goal 1 the shared depth of understanding about the nature of the work. Coherence speaks to the way in which the parts of the system work together to achieve outcomes. II A 3 The Governance Core. The Superintendent will lead the School Committee in exploring The Governance Core by Campbell and Fullan to IB1,3 **District Improvement** develop, build and codify a shared understanding of our roles in leading \boxtimes Goal 2 and improving the school district. In the fall workshop, we will explore mindsets for efficacy and utilize the spring workshop to check-in on our progress. **District Improvement** Goal 3 **District Improvement** П П П \Box П Goal 4

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.							
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture				
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards				
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency				
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication				
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning				
I-E. Data-Informed Decisionmaking	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision				
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict				

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



	each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to rintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	Е
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)		⊠		
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have correspon descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on studer learning based on multiple measures of student learning growth, and achievement must be taken into account w determining a performance rating for this Standard.			t on student lent learning, account when
The e	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that s powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.		⊠		

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

- **1B. Instruction**: Dr. Guillory submitted nine bullets in support of his work relating to this indicator. The first (ELA pilot expansion) was questioned, not championed, by Dr. Guillory to the School Committee in a public meeting. The second (a commitment to science of reading curriculum) was similarly not the result of his championship, as Dr. Guillory signed a letter opposing Massachusetts state legislation requiring literacy curriculum to be evidence-based. The third (eliminating world language before Grade 6) was a painful School Committee decision taken because of a budget crunch, not from an analysis of time on learning. The submission of these bullets as primary evidence supporting Dr. Guillory's performance leaves me skeptical of the remainder, which I am less able to verify. However, strong presentations from OTL throughout the last academic year suggest that this office remains strongly committed to the implementation of "instructional practices in all settings [that] reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work."
- **1D. Evaluation**: Would rate N/A if possible. Evidence was not provided in Dr. Guillory's submission or in presentations throughout the year. It is not possible to determine whether Dr. Guillory's feedback to staff is SMART or aligned with district goals, as recommended by first category of the rubric, nor was the School Committee provided with any of the sample evidence (compilation of educator goals, analysis of school and classroom observation data, analysis of student and staff feedback data) suggested by the Example Evidence document. We only can determine from Dr. Guillory's submission that evaluations occurred and that schools were visited.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)		NI	Р	Е
II-A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		×		
II-B.	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)	⊠			
II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			0	
II-D.	 Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				⊠

OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.		×					
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):							
PA Environment: Would rate N/Δ if possible. Supporting documents clarify that this indicator relates to " nlans , procedures .							

- **2A. Environment**: Would rate N/A if possible. Supporting documents clarify that this indicator relates to "plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems that address the safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students throughout the district, such that schools and other buildings are...generally clean, attractive, welcoming, or safe." I think this indicator was misunderstood, as all evidence submitted related to SEL learning programs, content, and curriculum, not to building environment plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems.
- **2B. HR Management and Development**. With the departure and replacement of all Deputy Superintendents during Dr. Guillory's tenure, this was previously an area of concern. No specific evidence was submitted suggesting improvement this year. As noted in Dr. Guillory's email introduction to his submission, his administration worked without two director positions filled for most of this year, but the School Committee was not, to my knowledge, provided with any explanation for these staffing issues. The Superintendent Rubric defines unsatisfactory as an "inability to reliably hire and retain educators that meet the learning needs of district students." Given no extenuating explanation for this ongoing concern, I rate Dr. Guillory's performance in this area as unsatisfactory.
- **2D. Fiscal systems**. Dr. Givens's reconstruction of PSB's financial systems continue to bring greater transparency, alignment with state requirements, and opportunities to make value-based decisions to the School Committee. The Advisory Committee's unanimous approval of a reserve fund transfer request is a testament to her work and the credibility it has accrued to Dr. Guillory's administration.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)		NI	Р	Е
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			\boxtimes	
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				

 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	\boxtimes					
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.X Focus Indicator (check if yes)						
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.		×				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):						

- **3A. Engagement**: Dr. Guillory is a visible and welcoming Superintendent. His newsletters are informative and positive. His method for developing PSB's Strategic Plan was successfully inclusive.
- **3C. Communication**: Dr. Guillory has not submitted evidence of his communications with PSB stakeholders for this evaluation other than his newsletters. Dr. Guillory has a troubling pattern of not communicating with the School Committee on issues within their purview or relating to compliance with state regulations. Two illustrative examples include:
 - (1) his process for hiring the Director of the Office of Special Services, during which School Committee members emailed him repeatedly for any information, to no response; and
 - (2) his refusal to respond to a Freedom of Information Act Request by a community member, to which the Massachusetts Supervisor of Records then ordered PSB to respond, about which I sent five emails to his office over a six-week period requesting information about PSB's compliance with public records requests and received no response whatsoever.

I do not know if this pattern extends beyond communications with the School Committee, but in the absence of evidence provided otherwise, I rate Dr. Guillory's performance this year as unsatisfactory.

3D. Family Concerns: Would rate N/A if possible. Dr. Guillory has not submitted evidence of his work in this area. In conversations with community members, I have not heard stories of substantive involvement on his part to resolve academic or non-academic concerns.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)		NI	P	E
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all.				

□ Focus Indicator (check if yes) IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.				
		T .		
X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			⊠	
 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.				
4B. Cultural Proficiency: Cristina Hernandez's framework for equity-focused PD for OEE fits the descript cultural proficiency indicator. BEED's ongoing work towards teacher recruitment and retention does as we information on teacher demographics has been an ongoing difficulty for the School Committee. The Spring Review found significant gaps in cultural responsiveness in instruction (e.g., "35% of the observed lesson a culturally responsive learning experience"), and it is not clear whether improvements were sought or material proficiency seems a laudably high priority for Dr. Guillory's administration, but it is hard to determine whether guidance, supports, and resources, as the definition of proficiency requires. 4D. Continuous Learning: Dr. Guillory provided numerous examples of trainings for staff, and he models decision-making.	ption of rell, alth- ng 2023 ns were ade this other PS	an exar ough ob Social inclusives past ye SB suffic	otaining : Studies ve and re ear. Cult siently pr	specific eflected ural rovides



Superintendent:	Linus J. Guillory	lory			June 30, 2024			
Evaluator:	Valerie Frias	/s/ Valerie Frias		7/28/24 Date				
	Name Signature		Signature					
Step 1: Assess Progress T	oward Goals (<i>Reference perf</i>	ormance goals; che	ck one for each set of go	oal[s].)				
Professional Practice Go	pal(s) Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	⊠ Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	eded	
Student Learning Goal(s) Did Not Meet	⊠ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	☐ Met ☐ Ex		☐ Exce	ceeded	
District Improvement Go	al(s) Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	⊠ Significant Progress	□М	et	☐ Exce	Exceeded	
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or o Needs Improvement/Developing = Performatisfactory at the time. Improvement is Proficient = Proficient practice is under	dard or overall has not significantly improved followerall and is considered inadequate, or both. ormance on a standard or overall is below the reconcessary and expected. restood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigowates that practice significantly exceeds <i>Proficient</i>	owing a rating of Needs Improver quirements of a standard or overs	ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be	Unsatisfactory xod eu	Needs Improvement	Proficient Proficient	Exemplary Exemplary	
Standard I: Instructional Leadership					⊠			
Standard II: Management and Operations								
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement								
Standard IV: Professiona	al Culture							



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)						
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement		Exemplary			
Step 4: Add Evaluator Comme	nts					
Comments and analysis are recommen	ded for any rating but are required for an over	rall summative rating of Exemplary, Ne	eds Improvement or Unsatisfactory.			
Comments:						

Superintendent's Performance Goals



Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district Did Not Meet Significant Progress improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Some Progress Exceeded Administrative Leadership. Met Goals Focus Indicator(s) Description IB1,3 Goal 1: Supervision and Evaluation. Active, ongoing feedback is a leading indicator in high performing organizations. PSB will continue to ID 1.3 prioritize supervision, evaluation and ongoing feedback as a means of **Student Learning Goal** \boxtimes continuous improvement. The focal point of my work with principals this year will be in the area of student engagement. IIE1 **Department Reorganization.** Examine the Department of Educational **Professional Practice** Equity for wider District impact, capacity and alignment with District values П П Ø П П Goal IIB1 **District Coherence**. Beginning in the 2023-24 school year, the Public Schools of Brookline will begin the process of developing District coherence IV D 1 by leveraging the multi-year integrated strategic plan as the central tool to **District Improvement** drive continuous improvement. Campbell and Fullan define coherence as \boxtimes Goal 1 the shared depth of understanding about the nature of the work. Coherence speaks to the way in which the parts of the system work together to achieve outcomes. II A 3 The Governance Core. The Superintendent will lead the School Committee in exploring The Governance Core by Campbell and Fullan to IB1,3 **District Improvement** develop, build and codify a shared understanding of our roles in leading \boxtimes Goal 2 and improving the school district. In the fall workshop, we will explore mindsets for efficacy and utilize the spring workshop to check-in on our

progress.

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.					
I. Instructional Leadership II. Management & Operations III. Family & Community Engagement IV. Professional			IV. Professional Culture		
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards		
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency		
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication		
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning		
I-E. Data-Informed Decisionmaking	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision		
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict		

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)		U	NI	Р	E
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			⊠	
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			⊠	
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account where determining a performance rating for this Standard.			et on student dent learning, account when
The e	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that s powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.			×	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):						
Sı	uperintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & O	perati	ons	Massact ELEMEN	husetts Department of TARY & SECONDARY JC ATTON	
	each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to rintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	P	E	
II-A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			×		
II-B.	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)					
II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)					
II-D.	 Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 					
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)					
The	RALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			⊠		
Com	ments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Ui</i>	nsatisfacte	ory):			

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E		
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 						
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 						
 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 						
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.X Focus Indicator (check if yes)		\boxtimes				
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.						
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):						

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

	•
Y	Massachusetts Department of ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)		NI	Р	E
 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		\boxtimes		

IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills.□ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			0	
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			×	
 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			0	
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Ur	nsatisfacto	ory):	-	





Superintendent:	Linu	s J. Guillory	<u> </u>			June 30	0, 2024	
Evaluator:	Sara	h Moghtader	Sara	ah Moghtader		July 12	2, 2024	
tep 1: Assess Progress	Toward Goal	ls (Reference perfo	ormance goals; che	ck one for each set of go	al[s].)			
Professional Practice (Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	х Ме	et	□ Exce	eded
Student Learning Goa	l(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	х Ме	et	□ Exce	eded
District Improvement C	Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress	□Мє	et 2	к Ехсе	eded
7	a standard or overall h rd or overall and is con = Performance on a st ment is necessary and understood to be fu	has not significantly improved for insidered inadequate, or both. tandard or overall is below the lexpected. Ily satisfactory. This is the right is the right in	ollowing a rating of Needs Impro	formance.	Unsatisfactory e	Needs Improvement		Exemplary
Standard I: Instructi	onal Leadership						X	
Standard II: Manag	ement and Opera	ations					X	
Standard III: Family	and Community	Engagement						х

Standard IV: Professional Culture

X

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Step 3: Rate Overall Summati	ve Performance (<i>Based on Step 1 ar</i>	nd Step 2 ratings; check one.)	
☐ Unsatisfactory	☐ Needs Improvement	x Proficient	☐ Exemplary
Step 4: Add Evaluator Comme	ents		
Comments and analysis are recommendate.	nded for any rating but are required for an ove	rall summative rating of <i>Exemplary</i> , N	leeds Improvement or Unsatisfactory
the strategic plan, bringing the opportunity to refine his Learning, Fiscal Systems a reflects the goals of the sys Another goal met is the Off	tendent Dr. Guillory has achieved an exvision and coherence to the district. We management of the processes in Record the Office of Educational Equity. Or stem even though there are further implice of Educational Equity has a clear verse my gratitude for the hard work of E	ith multiple new hires in senior truitment and Hiring Strategies, ne huge success was an access rovements to the budget processision of its purpose and has a p	leadership, Dr. Guillory took the Office of Teaching and sible Budget Book that ss that need to be made. lan for how to proceed.





Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district Significant Progress Exceeded improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Some Progress Did Not Meet Administrative Leadership. Met Goals Focus Indicator(s) **Description** IB1,3 Goal 1: Supervision and Evaluation. Active, ongoing feedback is a leading indicator in high performing organizations. PSB will continue to ID 1.3 **Student Learning Goal** prioritize supervision, evaluation and ongoing feedback as a means of П П X П continuous improvement. The focal point of my work with principals this year will be in the area of student engagement. IIE1 **Department Reorganization.** Examine the Department of Educational **Professional Practice** Equity for wider District impact, capacity and alignment with District values П П X П Goal IIB1 **District Coherence**. Beginning in the 2023-24 school year, the Public Schools of Brookline will begin the process of developing District coherence IV D 1 by leveraging the multi-year integrated strategic plan as the central tool to **District Improvement** drive continuous improvement. Campbell and Fullan define coherence as X П Goal 1 the shared depth of understanding about the nature of the work. Coherence speaks to the way in which the parts of the system work together to achieve outcomes. The Governance Core. The Superintendent will lead the School II A 3 Committee in exploring The Governance Core by Campbell and Fullan to IB1.3 **District Improvement** develop, build and codify a shared understanding of our roles in leading П П П П X Goal 2 and improving the school district. In the fall workshop, we will explore mindsets for efficacy and utilize the spring workshop to check-in on our progress.

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.								
I. Instructional Leadership II. Management & Operations III. Family & Community Engagement IV. Professional Culture								
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards					
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency					
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication					
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning					
I-E. Data-Informed Decisionmaking	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision					
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict					

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



	e each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to erintendent goal(s).)			Р	E
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			x	
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			x	
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.			t on student lent learning, account when
The e	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership ducation leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that s powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.			Х	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

When Dr. Guillory chose student engagement as a goal, he indicated his commitment to the student experience in our schools. This year under Dr. Guillory's leadership PSB expanded the heterogeneous 9th grade ELA pilot, aligned the new ELA program with the science of reading, increased time on learning for literacy, science and social studies in lower elementary school, and created the Unified Sports program. At the same time, we still have additional work to do to respond to the other suggestions in the Curriculum and Program Reviews that have been conducted. I believe that bandwidth issues have kept us from further improving our practices.

Dr. Guillory follows a rigorous schedule of school visits in addition to texts, calls and impromptu meetings with principals, providing both immediate feedback and formal evaluations. The feedback is focused in the areas of goal-setting, problem-solving, school operations, scheduling, and use of data to support student achievement. A leader with strong opinions himself, he expertly listens to the principals and senior leadership and follows their input. While some express that they would like to hear his opinion, I would argue that the person at the top of the organization guides others toward leadership by permitting them to take the reins for their own programs.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



	each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to rintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E
II-A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			х	
II-B.	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			x	
II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
II-D.	Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			x	
OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.				x	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):	
Dr. Guillory has encouraged principals to participate in the budget process. Given ownership of the budget for their be are refining their skills at budget management. This year's budget book was accessible and readable. The process lebudget is more transparent than in prior years under Dr. Guillory's leadership and is intended to be further refined. The Educational Equity has clarified its role and how it operates in relation to the other departments and has also establis in each of the schools.	eading to the ne Office of
Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Massachusetts Department of ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			X	
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			x	
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			X	
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.			x	

comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):
Or. Guillory writes a weekly newsletter covering many topics with balance over the different schools in the system. He seeks to selebrate the work of the students, teachers and district leaders. Dr. Guillory listens to public comment and carefully reads correspondence, getting back to those who contact him as appropriate. He excels at partnering with families to support students, engaging in culturally proficient 2-way communication.
Or. Guillory frequently attends community events. His interpersonal skills are excellent as he interacts with the community; he is easy of speak with and has a sense of humor.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

Y	Massachusetts Department of ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E
 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			x	
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			х	

 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 							
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 							
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.			х				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>): Dr. Guillory treats his staff as professionals and gives them the reins to lead, creating a professional culture. Dr. Guillory intends to be careful about work load, but the nature of schools is that there is always too much. He speaks up when needed about staff needing grace and understanding. And, he is comfortable with a solid attempt when a perfect product is unattainable. Dr. Guillory believes in educators and sees the best in all, always with high expectations.							

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Superintendent:	Linus	J. Guillory			June 30, 2024		·	
Evaluator:	Maria	ah Nobrega	mai	Rilling		July 15	5, 2024	
Name Signature				Date				
Step 1: Assess Progress	Toward Goals	s (Reference perfo	ormance goals; che	ck one for each set of go	al[s].)			
Professional Practice G	Soal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet		☐ Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	eded
Student Learning Goal((s)	☐ Did Not Meet		☐ Significant Progress		et	☐ Exce	eded
District Improvement Go	oal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Some Progress			et	☐ Exce	eded
Step 2: Assess Performar Unsatisfactory = Performance on a star below the requirements of a standard or Needs Improvement/Developing = Per Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement Proficient = Proficient practice is under Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indices.	ndard or overall has no overall and is consider formance on a standa is necessary and exper erstood to be fully sa	ot significantly improved follow red inadequate, or both. rd or overall is below the requected. atisfactory. This is the rigor	wing a rating of Needs Improversuirements of a standard or oversuous expected level of perform	nent, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be	Unsatisfactory 00	Needs Improvement	Proficient stand	Exemplary Exemplary
Standard I: Instructiona	l Leadership							
Standard II: Manageme	ent and Operation	s						
Standard III: Family and	d Community Eng	agement				⊠		
Standard IV: Profession	nal Culture						⊠	

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)							
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement		Exemplary				
Step 4: Add Evaluator Comme							
	ded for any rating but are required for an over	rall summative rating of Exemplary, Ne	eds Improvement or Unsatisfactory.				
Comments:							
Overall I am pleased with how t comments within each standard	his year went; although there are som ls area.	e areas that I think could be imp	roved, as noted in the detailed				
My assessment of the progress	toward goals on page 1 indicates my	understanding that these are mu	ılti-year goals.				

Superintendent's Performance Goals



Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.			Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress		Exceeded
Goals	Focus Indicator(s)	Description	Did	Son	Sign Pro	Met	Exc
Student Learning Goal	I-B I-D	Goal 1: Supervision and Evaluation. Active, ongoing feedback is a leading indicator in high performing organizations. PSB will continue to prioritize supervision, evaluation and ongoing feedback as a means of continuous improvement. The focal point of my work with principals this year will be in the area of student engagement.		⊠			
Professional Practice Goal	II-E	Goal 2: Department Reorganization. Examine the Department of Educational Equity for wider District impact, capacity and alignment with District values		×			
District Improvement Goal 1	II-B IV-D	Goal 3: District Coherence. Beginning in the 2023-24 school year, the Public Schools of Brookline will begin the process of developing District coherence by leveraging the multi-year integrated strategic plan as the central tool to drive continuous improvement. Campbell and Fullan define coherence as the shared depth of understanding about the nature of the work. Coherence speaks to the way in which the parts of the system work together to achieve outcomes.			×		
District Improvement Goal 2	II-A I-B	Goal 4: The Governance Core. The Superintendent will lead the School Committee in exploring The Governance Core by Campbell and Fullan to develop, build and codify a shared understanding of our roles in leading and improving the school district. In the fall workshop, we will explore mindsets for efficacy and utilize the spring workshop to check-in on our progress.		⊠		0	

Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.							
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture				
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards				
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency				
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication				
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning				
I-E. Data-Informed Decisionmaking	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision				

I-F. Student Learning II-E. Fiscal Systems IV-F. Managing Conflict		II-E. Fiscal Systems		
--	--	----------------------	--	--

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)			Р	E			
I-A.	 Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 							
I-B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)							
I-C.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)							
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)							
I-E.	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)							
I-F.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning, learning based on multiple measures of student learning,						
The e	RALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership ducation leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that s powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.			⊠				
Comi	ments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Ur	nsatisfacto	ory):					
Indicator I-B (instruction) has strong evidence in support, including the implementation of a new ELA curriculum to be rolled out for grades K-2, and the use of data through OpenArchitects and new data sources, e.g. mClass, to improve decision-making around instruction overall, as well as personalized instruction.								
	ndicator I-D speaks to supervision and evaluation of all staff. I don't see where we were given data to support all staff being supervised and evaluated. The information given only speaks to principals and senior staff. Therefore I am not providing an evaluation of that component.							

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)			Р	Е			
II-A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			0	×			
II-B.	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)			⊠				
II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)							
II-D.	 Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 							
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. X Focus Indicator (check if yes)				×			
		OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.						
The e	education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and			×	⊠			
The e	education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and			⊠	⊠			
The end of the windows done	education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.	nsatisfacto	ory):	the work b	eing			
The work done years	education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling. ments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Universe being done under II-A and II-E is quite strong. The information that is being provided about student well-being is important and se to support students. Similarly, the improvements to the financial systems that is occurring is a large step forward, and is building toward.	nsatisfactors howing the ards where	ory): impact of the district	the work b	eing ave been			
The widone years The wicomin	education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling. ments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or University of the being done under II-A and II-E is quite strong. The information that is being provided about student well-being is important and so to support students. Similarly, the improvements to the financial systems that is occurring is a large step forward, and is building toward ago. The evidence provided shows exemplary work so far, as well as a plan for the future, which is mostly within the strategic plan. For work being done under II-B is also important, just not as far along as the other areas. I look forward to seeing the results of the HR province in the province of the HR province of th	nsatisfactors howing the ards where	ory): impact of the district	the work b	eing ave been			
The widone years The wicomin	education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling. ments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary</i> , <i>Needs Improvement</i> or <i>University</i> being done under II-A and II-E is quite strong. The information that is being provided about student well-being is important and so to support students. Similarly, the improvements to the financial systems that is occurring is a large step forward, and is building toward. The evidence provided shows exemplary work so far, as well as a plan for the future, which is mostly within the strategic plan. For work being done under II-B is also important, just not as far along as the other areas. I look forward to seeing the results of the HR programs. It is valuable to have the strategic plan guiding much of this work.	nsatisfactors howing the ards where	ory): impact of the district	the work b	eing ave been			
The widone years The wicomin	education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and tive learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling. ments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary</i> , <i>Needs Improvement</i> or <i>University</i> being done under II-A and II-E is quite strong. The information that is being provided about student well-being is important and so to support students. Similarly, the improvements to the financial systems that is occurring is a large step forward, and is building toward. The evidence provided shows exemplary work so far, as well as a plan for the future, which is mostly within the strategic plan. For work being done under II-B is also important, just not as far along as the other areas. I look forward to seeing the results of the HR programs. It is valuable to have the strategic plan guiding much of this work.	nsatisfactors howing the ards where	ory): impact of the district	the work b	eing ave been			

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E			
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			⊠				
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 							
 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			⊠				
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.X Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×					
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with amilies, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.							
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): I appreciate the work that has been done under III-A but feel there is much more to be done beyond a newsletter. The evidence provided for families/caregivers feeling welcome was about the superintendent's presence at community events, and interaction with community leaders, but those points do not address the question. I think the data from the recent survey about family/caregiver belonging would be more material here. In the lack of district evidence, my anecdotal evidence is that people do feel disengaged – they are not allowed in the buildings, they do not know how to contribute to the system. PTO fundraising is way down, which I take as another barometer of disengagement.							
I am happy with the work occurring in III-C. I look forward to seeing the new website soon. The district's top-to-bottom focus on language	access has	come ver	y far.				
I think there is significant work to be done on Family Concerns. There seems to be a gap between parent/caregiver expectations about communication quality, and what actually occurs – this is not a new problem but one that can be greatly improved. Part of this may be just expectations-setting – if so, the superintendent should set and communicate expectations. Is it reasonable to get a response on routine (non-emergency) concerns within 1 school day? 3? 5? Does it vary if one is emailing a classroom teacher vs senior staff? Can the superintendent articulate practices (e.g. admins responding on behalf of senior leadership, auto-replies with expected response time, etc) to help both families/caregivers and staff set and conform with expectations?							
checked both Needs Improvement and Proficient as my evaluation falls between those two areas. If I must choose one, I would select Proficient.							





Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	Р	E
 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills.Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. X Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 				
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):				
The evidence provided in support of both IV-B and IV-D only presents evidence for half of each. For IV-B, there is no evidence of how the superintendent/district is enabling students (as opposed to staff.) I can think of work being done in this area, e.g. the pilot of the QSP at the HS, the formalization of the AAPI affinity group, the establishment of Unified Sports, but it is not described here, nor am I familiar with all of the work being done in this area. For IV-D, there is no evidence of the PD of non-administrator staff – all evidence is for administrators. Again, I can assemble some evidence based on my own knowledge but do not see it represented here, e.g. equity leads, work around CSTs, nor is my knowledge exhaustive.				
Note: evidence was provided for IV-C but IV-C was not listed as a focus indicator, so I did not consider it here.				